CLT and Bootstrap in High Dimensions

V. Chernozhukov (MIT) D. Chetverikov (UCLA) K. Kato (Tokyo)

October 27, 2016

This presentation is based on:

- 1. "Central Limit Theorems and Bootstrap in High Dimensions," *ArXiv*, 2014, *Ann. Prob.*, 2016.
- 2. "Gaussian Approximation of Suprema of Empirical Processes," Ann. Stat., 2014a
- 3. "Uniform Inference after Selection for LAD and Other Z-Estimation Problmes" *Biometrika*, 2014b (with A. Belloni). ArXiv 2013.
- "Uniformly Valid Post-Regularization Confidence Regions for Many Functional Parameters in Z-Estimation Framework" (with A. Belloni and Y. Wei). ArXiv, 2015.

Introduction

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a sequence of *centered* independent random vectors in \mathbb{R}^p , with each X_i having coordinates denoted by X_{ij} ; that is,

$$X_i = (X_{ij})_{j=1}^p$$

Define the normalized sum:

$$S_n^X := (S_{nj}^X)_{j=1}^p := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$
(1)

Let Y_1, \ldots, Y_n be independent Gaussian random vectors in \mathbb{R}^p :

$$Y_i \sim N(0, \mathrm{E}[X_i X_i']).$$

Define the Gaussian analog of S_n^{χ} as:

$$S_n^{\mathbf{Y}} := (S_{nj}^{\mathbf{Y}})_{j=1}^{p} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i.$$
⁽²⁾

Introduction

Define the Kolmorogorov distance between S_n^X and S_n^Y :

$$\rho_n := \sup_{\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{S}_n^{\boldsymbol{X}} \in \boldsymbol{A}) - \mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{S}_n^{\boldsymbol{Y}} \in \boldsymbol{A}) \right|$$

where ${\cal A}$ is some class of sets

Question: how fast can $p = p_n$ grow as $n \to \infty$ under the restriction that $\rho_n \to 0$?

Bentkus (2003): for i.i.d. X_i , if A is the class of all convex sets, then

$$\rho_n = O\left(\frac{p^{1/4} \mathrm{E}[\|X\|_2^3]}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Typically $\operatorname{E}[\|X\|_2^3] = O(p^{3/2})$, so

$$\rho_n \rightarrow 0$$
 if $p = o(n^{2/7})$

Nagaev (1976): this result is nearly optimal, $\rho_n \gtrsim E[||X||_2^3]/\sqrt{n}$

However, in modern statistics, often $p \gg n$

- high dimensional regression models
- multiple hypothesis testing problems

Question: can we find a non-trivial class of sets ${\mathcal A}$ such that

$$p = p_n \gg n$$
 but $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$

Our first main result(s):

Subject to some conditions, if ${\cal A}$ is the class of all rectangles (or sparsely convex sets), then

$$\rho_n \to 0$$
 if $\log p = o(n^{1/7})$

Simulation Example

The example is motivated by the problem of removing the Gaussianity assumption in Dantzig/Lasso estimators of (very) high-dimensional sparse regression models. Let

$$S_n^X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad X_{ij} = z_{ij} \varepsilon_i, \quad \varepsilon_i \text{ i.i.d. } t(5)/c$$

 z_{ij} 's are fixed bounded "regressors", $|z_{ij}| \leq B$, drawn from U(0,1) distribution once, and

$$S_n^Y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \quad Y_{ij} = z_{ij} e_i, \ e_i \text{ i.i.d. } N(0,1),$$

so that $E[Y_i Y'_i] = E[X_i X'_i]$. Compare

$$P\left(\|S_n^X\|_{\infty} \le t\right) \text{ and } P\left(\|S_n^Y\|_{\infty} \le t\right).$$

(i.e. ρ_n for \mathcal{A} = cubes in \mathbb{R}^p)

Simulation Example



Figure: P-P plots comparing $P(||S_n^Y||_{\infty} \le t)$ and $P(||S_n^X||_{\infty} \le t)$. The dashed line is the 45° line.

Introduction – Bootstrap

Generally, $P(S_n^Y \in A)$ is unknown since don't know covariance matrix $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} E[X_iX_i^i]$. So the second result, is that under similar conditions

$$\rho_n^* = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \mathbb{P}(S_n^{X*} \in A \mid \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n) - \mathbb{P}(S_n^Y \in A) \right| \to_{\mathbb{P}} 0$$

We prove this result for the Gaussian Bootstrap (*multiplier method* with Gaussian multipliers):

$$S_n^{X*} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}) e_i, \quad \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad (3)$$

where $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ are i.i.d. N(0, 1) multipliers; and the Empirical Bootstrap:

$$S_n^{X*} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}) m_{i,n}, \quad \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \tag{4}$$

where $(m_{i,n})_{i=1}^n$ is *n*-dimensional multinomial variate based on *n* trials with success probabilities $1/n, \ldots, 1/n$.

Conditions

Let b > 0 and $q \ge 4$ be constants, and $(B_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive constants, possibly growing to ∞ .

Consider the following conditions:

(M.1)
$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[X_{ij}^{2}] \ge b$$
 for all $j = 1, ..., p$,
(M.2) $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[|X_{ij}|^{2+k}] \le B_{n}^{k}$ for all $j = 1, ..., p$ and $k = 1, 2$.
and one of the following:
(E.1) $E[\exp(|X_{ij}|/B_{n})] \le 2$ for all $i = 1, ..., n$ and $j = 1, ..., p$,
(E.2) $E[\exp(|X_{ij}|/B_{n})] \le 2$ for all $i = 1, ..., n$ and $j = 1, ..., p$,

(E.2) $E[(\max_{1 \le j \le p} |X_{ij}| / B_n)^q] \le 2$ for all i = 1, ..., n,

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^r$ be a the class of all rectangles:

$$A = \left\{ z = (z_1, \dots, z_p)' \in \mathbb{R}^p : z_j \in [a_j, b_j] \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, p \right\}$$
for some $-\infty \le a_j \le b_j \le \infty, j = 1, \dots, p$.

Formal Results, I

Theorem (Central Limit Theorem)

Recall that

$$\rho_n := \sup_{\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathcal{A}^r} \left| \mathrm{P}(\boldsymbol{S}_n^{\boldsymbol{X}} \in \boldsymbol{A}) - \mathrm{P}(\boldsymbol{S}_n^{\boldsymbol{Y}} \in \boldsymbol{A}) \right|$$

Assume (M.1-2), then under (E.1)

$$\rho_n \le C \left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^7(pn)}{n}\right)^{1/6} \tag{5}$$

where the constant C depends only on b, and under (E.2)

$$\rho_n \le C \left[\left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^7(pn)}{n} \right)^{1/6} + \left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^3 p}{n^{1-2/q}} \right)^{1/3} \right]$$
(6)

where the constant C depends only on b and q.

Remark: Bentkus (1985) provides an example, with $(X_{ij}, 1 \le j \le p) \subset \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} is *P*-Donsker, such that $\rho_n \gtrsim (1/n)^{1/6}$.

Formal Results, II

Theorem (Gaussian and Empirical Bootstrap Theorem)

Define

$$\rho_n^* := \sup_{\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathcal{A}^r} \left| \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{S}_n^{X*} \in \boldsymbol{A} \mid \{\boldsymbol{X}_i\}_{i=1}^n) - \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{S}_n^{Y} \in \boldsymbol{A}) \right|.$$

Assume (M.1-2), then under (E.1), with probability at least $1 - \alpha$,

$$\rho_n^* \le C \left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^5(pn) \log^2(1/\alpha)}{n} \right)^{1/6}, \tag{7}$$

where the constant C depends only on b, and under (E.2), with probability at least $1 - \alpha$,

$$\rho_n^* \le C \left[\left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^5(pn) \log^2(1/\alpha)}{n} \right)^{1/6} + \left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^3 p}{\alpha^{2/q} n^{1-2/q}} \right)^{1/3} \right]$$
(8)

where the constant C depends only on b and q.

Some ingredients behind the proofs, I

Focus on max rectangles for simplicity:

$$A = \left\{ z = (z_1, \ldots, z_p)' \in \mathbb{R}^p : \max_{1 \le j \le p} z_j \le s \right\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}$$

• Slepian's interpolation:

Define

$$Z(t) := \sqrt{t} S_n^X + \sqrt{1-t} S_n^Y, \ t \in [0, 1]$$

Then

$$P(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}_n^X} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}) - P(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}_n^Y} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}) = E[1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}(1) \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}})] - E[1(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}(0) \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}})]$$

• Smoothing:

Approximate the indicator map

$$z \mapsto \mathbf{1}(z \in A) = \mathbf{1}\left(\max_{1 \le j \le p} z_j \le s\right)$$

by some smooth map

 $z \mapsto m(z)$

by smoothing the interval indicator $y \mapsto 1(y \le s)$ and smoothing the max operator $z \mapsto \max_{1 \le j \le p} z_j$.

Some ingredients behind the proofs, II

• Calculations:

$$E[1(Z(1) \in A)] - E[1(Z(0) \in A)] \stackrel{(1)}{\approx} E[m(Z(1))] - E[m(Z(0))]$$
$$= \int_0^1 E\left[\frac{dm(Z(t))}{dt}\right] dt$$
$$\stackrel{(2)}{\approx} 0$$

by proving the (1) first and that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\frac{dm(\boldsymbol{Z}(t))}{dt}\right]\approx\mathbf{0}$$

• Approximation of max operator by a logistic potential:

$$\max_{1 \le j \le p} z_j - \beta^{-1} \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \exp(\beta z_j) \right) \right| \le \frac{\log p}{\beta}$$

Some ingredients behind the proofs, III

• Anti-concentration of suprema of Gaussian processes: (needed to show negligibility of errors due to smoothing the indicator function)

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}\left(t\leq \max_{1\leq j\leq \rho} S_{n,j}^{\mathsf{Y}}\leq t+\varepsilon\right)\leq 4\varepsilon\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{1\leq j\leq \rho} S_{n,j}^{\mathsf{Y}}\right]+1\right)\lesssim \varepsilon\sqrt{\log\rho},$$

stated for the case when $E[(S_{n,j}^{\gamma})^2] = 1$ for each *j*. This is opposite of the (super)-concentration.

Ref: CCK, PTRF.

Stein's leave-one-out method (needed to simplify computations of expectations)

(stability property of third-order derivatives of the logistic potential over certain subsets of \mathbb{R}^ρ play a crucial role)

 Double Slepian Interpolation: to improve the dependence of bounds on n (Inspired by Bolthausen's (1984) arguments for combinatorial CLTs)

Details on Double Slepian Interpolation

By using single Slepian interpolant

$$Z(t) := \sqrt{t} S_n^X + \sqrt{1-t} S_n^Y, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

the argument gives

$$\rho_n \le \rho'_n := \sup_{t \in [0,1], A \in \mathcal{A}'} |\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Z}(t) \in \mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Z}(0) \in \mathbf{A})| \le n^{-1/8} \times \mathbf{C}(n, p).$$

Define the double Slepian interpolation

$$D(v, t) := \sqrt{v}Z(t) + \sqrt{1 - v}S_n^W, \quad v \in [0, 1], \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

where S_n^W is an independent copy of S_n^Y .

 By doing double interpolation and using other ingredients mentioned above, obtain

$$\rho'_n \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho'_n + n^{-1/6} \times C(n,p)' \implies \text{result}$$

Classical CLTs under expanding dimension:

Senatov (1980), Asriev and Rotar (1985), Portnoy (1986), Götze (1991), Bentkus (2003), L.H.Y. Chen and Roellin (2011), and others

Bootstrap and Multiplier methods:

• Gine and Zinn (1990), Koltchinskii (1981), Pollard (1982)

Stein's Method and other modern invariance principles

• Chatterjee (2005), Roellin (2011).

Spin glasses

• Panchenko (2013), Talagrand (2003), and others.

• (CCK, Ann. Stat. 2014a). The results presented extend to suprema of empirical processes:

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\left| P\left(\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}_n} \mathbb{G}_n(f) \leq t \right) - P\left(\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}_n} \mathbb{G}_P(f) \leq t \right) \right| \to 0$$

- provided the complexity of \mathcal{F}_n does not grow too quickly. The approximations are more generally applicable than Hungarian couplings (e.g. Rio), and competitive when both apply.
- There is also an analogous result for Gaussian and Empirical bootstrap.

Definition (Sparsely convex sets)

For integer s > 0, we say that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ is an *s*-**sparsely convex set** if there exist an integer $Q \leq p^C$ and convex sets $A_q \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, q = 1, ..., Q, such that

$$A = \cap_{q=1}^{Q} A_q$$

and the indicator function of each A_q ,

$$w \mapsto \mathbf{1}\{w \in A_q\},\$$

depends at most on *s* components of its argument $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_p)$

Examples of Sparsely Convex Sets

• Example 1: Rectangle (1-sparse) – intersection of 1-d shells

$$A = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^p : z_j \in [a_j, b_j] \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, p\}$$

for some $-\infty \leq a_j \leq b_j \leq \infty, j = 1, \dots, p$

 Example 2: Sparse Polytope (s-sparse) — intersection of sparsely defined hyperplanes.

$$A = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^p : v'_j z \le a_j, \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, m\}$$

for some $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $v_j \in \mathcal{S}^{p-1}$ with $\|v_j\|_0 \leq s, j = 1, ..., m$

• Example 3: Sparse convex body (s-sparse) — generated by the intersection of cylinders with s-dimensional base:

$$A = \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^{p} : \| (z_{j})_{j \in J_{k}} \|_{2}^{2} \le a_{k} : k = 1, ..., m \},\$$

for some $a_k > 0$ and J_k being a subset of $\{1, ..., p\}$ of fixed cardinality s, k = 1, ..., m

Let b > 0 and $q \ge 4$ be constants, and $(B_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive constants, possibly growing to ∞ .

Consider the following conditions:

(M.1') $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[(v'X_i)^2] \ge b$ for all $v \in S^{p-1}$ with $||v||_0 \le s$, (M.2) $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[|X_{ij}|^{2+k}] \le B_n^k$ for all j = 1, ..., p and k = 1, 2. (E.1) $\mathbb{E}[\exp(|X_{ij}|/B_n)] \le 2$ for all i = 1, ..., n and j = 1, ..., p, (E.2) $\mathbb{E}[(\max_{1 \le j \le p} |X_{ij}|/B_n)^q] \le 2$ for all i = 1, ..., n,

Theorem (CLT for Sparsely Convex Sets)

For \mathcal{A}^s denoting the class of all s-sparsely convex sets, let

$$\rho_n := \sup_{\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathcal{A}^s} \left| \mathrm{P}(\boldsymbol{S}_n^{\boldsymbol{X}} \in \boldsymbol{A}) - \mathrm{P}(\boldsymbol{S}_n^{\boldsymbol{Y}} \in \boldsymbol{A}) \right|$$

Assume (M.1') and (M.2), then under (E.1)

$$\rho_n \le C \left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^7(pn)}{n}\right)^{1/6} \tag{9}$$

where the constant C depends only on b and s, and under (E.2)

$$\rho_n \le C \left[\left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^7(pn)}{n} \right)^{1/6} + \left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^3 p}{n^{1-2/q}} \right)^{1/3} \right]$$
(10)

where the constant C depends only on b, q, and s.

Formal Results, IV

Theorem (Gaussian Bootstrap Theorem)

Define

$$\rho_n^* := \sup_{\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathcal{A}^s} \left| \mathrm{P}(\boldsymbol{S}_n^{X*} \in \boldsymbol{A} \mid \{\boldsymbol{X}_i\}_{i=1}^n) - \mathrm{P}(\boldsymbol{S}_n^{Y} \in \boldsymbol{A}) \right|.$$

Assume (M.1-2), then under (E.1), with probability at least $1 - \alpha$,

$$\rho_n^* \le C \left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^5(pn) \log^2(1/\alpha)}{n} \right)^{1/6}, \tag{11}$$

where the constant C depends only on b and s, and under (E.2), with probability at least $1 - \alpha$,

$$\rho_n^* \le C \left[\left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^5(pn) \log^2(1/\alpha)}{n} \right)^{1/6} + \left(\frac{B_n^2 \log^3 p}{\alpha^{2/q} n^{1-2/q}} \right)^{1/3} \right]$$
(12)

where the constant C depends only on b, q, and s.

- In [3], we used the results to give confidence bands for a large collection of approximately linear estimators in high-dimensional (approximately) sparse linear median regression and Z-estimation problems
- In [4], we used the results to give confidence bands for a large collection of approximately linear *function-valued* estimators in high-dimensional (approximately) sparse functional regression and Z-estimation problems
- As explained in [3-4], approximately linear estimators gan be generated by solving Neyman-orthogonal estimating equations.

Example: High-Dimensional Logistic Regression

Suppose that we are interested in studying the dependence of a random variable *Y* on a *p*-dimensional vector of covariates, $X = (X_1, ..., X_p)'$, where *p* is large

One approach to study this dependence is the following:

• For $u \in \mathcal{U}$, define

$$Y_u = 1\left\{Y \le u\right\}$$

• For each $u \in U$, consider the logistic regression:

$$\mathrm{E}[Y_{u} \mid X] = \Lambda(X'\theta_{u}) + r_{u}(X),$$

where $\Lambda(\cdot)$ is the logistic link function;

The map

$$u \mapsto \theta_u = (\theta_{u1}, \ldots, \theta_{up})'$$

is a function-valued parameter of interest, and $r_u(X)$ is an asymptotically vanishing approximation error (pretend that $r_u(X) \equiv 0$)

General Moment Conditions Setup and Z-estimators

For each $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $j = 1, \dots, p$:

• Assume that the parameter θ_{uj} satisfies the following moment condition:

 $\mathbf{E}[\psi_{uj}(\boldsymbol{W},\theta_{uj},\eta_{uj})]=\mathbf{0},$

where *W* is a random vector, η_{uj} is a nuisance parameter, and ψ_{uj} is a known moment function

- Let $(W_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a random sample from the distribution of W
- Here both *p* and the dimension of η_{uj} can be larger than *n*; in fact, η_{uj} can be a function
- Let $\hat{\eta}_{uj}$ be an ML-type estimator of η_{uj} (in the logistic regression example, we assume that $\hat{\eta}_{uj}$ is either a Lasso-type or Post-Lasso-type estimator of η_{uj})
- Define a Z-estimator of θ_{uj} :

$$\widehat{\theta}_{uj} = \arg\min_{\theta \in \Theta_{uj}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_{uj}(W_i, \theta, \widehat{\eta}_{uj}) \right|$$

where Θ_{uj} is a set where θ_{uj} is known to belong to

We assume that the moment functions ψ_{uj} satisfy the following Neyman orthogonality condition: for all $u \in U$, j = 1, ..., p, and $\eta \in T_{uj}$, the Gateaux derivative map with respect to the nuisance parameter vanishes:

$$\partial_r \mathbf{E} \psi_{uj} \{ \boldsymbol{W}, \theta_{uj}, \eta_{uj} + r(\eta - \eta_{uj}) \} \Big|_{r=0} = 0$$

where \mathcal{T}_{uj} is a set such that $\hat{\eta}_{uj} \in \mathcal{T}_{uj}$ with probability approaching one uniformly over $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and j = 1, ..., p

Neyman orthogonality condition: Heuristically, small deviations in nuisance parameters η_{uj} do not invalidate moment conditions.

Constructing Orthogonal Moment Conditions for Likelihood Models, I

In the likelihood models, we can generally construct moment functions satisfying the orthogonality condition building upon classic ideas of Neyman (1958, 1979)

Suppose log-likelihood function is given by $\ell(W, \theta, \beta)$ where

- θ is a scalar parameter of interest
- β is a d-dimensional nuisance parameter

Under regularity, true parameter values, θ_0 and β_0 , satisfy

$$\mathbf{E}[\partial_{\theta}\ell(\boldsymbol{W},\theta_{0},\beta_{0})]=\mathbf{0},\quad\mathbf{E}[\partial_{\beta}\ell(\boldsymbol{W},\theta_{0},\beta_{0})]=\mathbf{0}$$

However, the original moment function $\varphi(W, \theta, \beta) = \partial_{\theta} \ell(W, \theta, \beta)$ in general does not satisfy the orthogonality condition

Constructing Orthogonal Moment Conditions for Likelihood Models, II

We, therefore, construct new moment function satisfying the orthogonality condition:

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{W},\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \partial_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\ell(\boldsymbol{W},\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\beta}) - \mu\partial_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\ell(\boldsymbol{W},\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\beta}),$$

- Nuisance parameter: $\eta = (\beta', \mu')'$
- μ is the *d*-dimensional orthogonalization parameter
 - True value μ_0 of the parameter μ is given by $\mu_0 = J_{\beta\beta}^{-1} J_{\beta\theta}$ for

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{\theta\theta} & J_{\theta\beta} \\ J_{\beta\theta} & J_{\beta\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \partial_{(\theta',\beta')} E \Big[\partial_{(\theta',\beta')'} \ell(W,\theta,\beta) \Big] \Big|_{\theta = \theta_0; \ \beta = \beta_0}$$

• Then $E[\psi(W, \theta_0, \eta_0)] = 0$ for $\eta_0 = (\beta'_0, \mu'_0)'$ (provided μ_0 is well-defined)

• And ψ obeys the orthogonality condition: $\partial_{\eta} E[\psi(W, \theta_0, \eta)]\Big|_{\eta=\eta_0} = 0$

This construction can be used to derive the moment functions ψ_{uj} satisfying the orthogonality condition in the logistic regression example with the log-likelihood function

$$\ell(X, Y_u, \theta_u) = Y_u \log \Lambda(X'\theta_u) + (1 - Y_u) \log(1 - \Lambda(X'\theta_u))$$

using $\theta_{\textit{uj}}$ as a parameter of interest and $\eta = (\mu, \theta_{\textit{u}, -j})$ as the nuisance

Asymptotic Normality for Many Z-estimators

Theorem (Asymptotic normality)

Under certain regularity conditions, the estimators $\hat{\theta}_{uj}$ satisfy

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\theta}_{uj} - \theta_{uj})}{\sigma_{uj}} = \mathbb{G}_n \bar{\psi}_{uj} + o_P(1) \quad \text{uniformly over } u \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, p,$$

where

$$\sigma_{uj}^{2} = \frac{\mathrm{E}[\psi_{uj}^{2}(\boldsymbol{W}, \theta_{uj}, \eta_{uj})]}{J_{uj}^{2}}, \quad J_{uj} = \partial_{\theta} \Big\{ \mathrm{E}[\psi_{uj}(\boldsymbol{W}, \theta, \eta_{uj})] \Big\} \Big|_{\theta = \theta_{uj}},$$

and

$$\bar{\psi}_{uj}(W) = -\frac{\psi_{uj}(W, \theta_{uj}, \eta_{uj})}{\sigma_{uj}J_{uj}}.$$

As a consequence, under further regularity conditions,

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P\left(\sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}, 1 \le j \le p} \left| \frac{\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\theta}_{uj} - \theta_{uj})}{\sigma_{uj}} \right| \le t \right) - P\left(\sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}, 1 \le j \le p} |\mathcal{N}_{uj}| \le t \right) \right| = o(1),$$

where $\{\mathcal{N}_{uj} : u \in \mathcal{U}, j = 1, \dots, p\}$ is a certain Gaussian process.

Simultaneous Confidence Bands via Bootstrap

The theorem above can be used to construct simultaneous confidence bands for the parameters θ_{uj} :

- For each u ∈ U and j = 1,..., p, let \$\hat{\sigma}_{uj}\$ and \$\hat{J}_{uj}\$ be estimators of \$\sigma_{uj}\$ and \$\begin{subarray}{c} J_{uj}\$, respectively
- 2 For each $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $j = 1, \ldots, p$, let

$$\widehat{\psi}_{uj}(\cdot) = -\frac{\psi_{uj}(\cdot, \widehat{\theta}_{uj}, \widehat{\eta}_{uj})}{\widehat{\sigma}_{uj}\widehat{J}_{uj}}$$

be an estimator of $ar{\psi}_{uj}(\cdot)$

- 3 Let (ξ_i)ⁿ_{i=1} be a random sample from the N(0, 1) distribution that is independent of the data (W_i)ⁿ_{i=1}
- G For α ∈ (0, 1), let c_α be the (1 − α) quantile of the conditional distribution of

$$T = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}, 1 \le j \le p} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \widehat{\psi}_{uj}(W_i) \right| \quad \text{given the data } (W_i)_{i=1}^n$$

Optime the simultaneous confidence bands:

$$\widehat{\Theta}_{uj} = \left(\widehat{\theta}_{uj} - \frac{c_{\alpha}\widehat{\sigma}_{uj}}{\sqrt{n}}, \widehat{\theta}_{uj} + \frac{c_{\alpha}\widehat{\sigma}_{uj}}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad u \in \mathcal{U}, \ j = 1, \dots, p$$

Theorem

Under certain regularity conditions, the simultaneous confidence bands $\widehat{\Theta}_{uj}$ satisfy

$$\mathrm{P}\Big(heta_{\mathit{uj}}\in\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathit{uj}} ext{ for all } \mathit{u}\in\mathcal{U}, \ \mathit{j}=1,\ldots, \mathit{p}\Big)=1-lpha+o(1).$$

In fact, the result holds uniformly over a large class of data-generating processes.

Thank you!