

Problem 1 (22 Points)

Consider the following linear regression model

$$y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{t2} + e_t, \quad e_t \sim N(0, \sigma_t^2) \text{ independent for } t = 1, \dots, T, \quad (1)$$

where x_{t2} is a non-stochastic regressor. Let $\Phi = \text{diag}[\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_T^2]$ be a positive definite matrix.

1. **(7 Points)** Assume that Φ is known.

- (a) Derive the covariance matrix of the OLS estimator b for $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)'$ in model (1).
- (b) Propose an efficient estimator for β and derive its expectation.
- (c) What does efficiency of an estimator mean?

2. **(9 Points)** The following data are available:

$$X'X = \begin{bmatrix} 50.000 & 5.410 \\ 5.410 & 43.315 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (X'X)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.020 & -0.003 \\ -0.003 & 0.023 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X'y = \begin{bmatrix} 19.690 \\ 46.213 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y'y = 1995.$$

Let Φ be unknown and proceed with the wrong assumption that $\Phi = \sigma^2 I_T$.

- (a) Calculate the OLS estimate b of the vector of coefficients $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)'$.
- (b) Estimate the variance of the error term. (Hint: $\hat{e}'\hat{e} = y'y - b'X'y$.)
- (c) Estimate the covariance matrix of the OLS estimator b .
- (d) Compute the test statistic for testing the null hypothesis

$$H : \beta_2 = 0. \quad (2)$$

Which critical value would you need at a 5% significance level?

3. **(6 Points)** In addition to the data above, let

$$X'\hat{\Phi}X = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{e}_t^2 x_{(t)} x_{(t)}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1941.775 & 175.990 \\ 175.990 & 737.029 \end{bmatrix}$$

be given, where the \hat{e}_t 's are the OLS residuals from part 2 and $\hat{\Phi} = \text{diag}[\hat{e}_1^2, \dots, \hat{e}_T^2]$.

- (a) Compute the White heteroscedasticity consistent covariance matrix estimator.
- (b) For testing the null hypothesis (2) compute the test statistic based on the OLS estimate of β_2 and its White standard error. What can you conclude when comparing your results with that of part 2(c)?

Solution to problem 1 (22 Points)

1. (a) Note first that $b - \beta = (X'X)^{-1}X'e$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Cov}(b) &= E[(b - \beta)(b - \beta)'] = E[((X'X)^{-1}X'e)((X'X)^{-1}X'e)'] \\ &= E[(X'X)^{-1}X'ee'X(X'X)^{-1}] \\ &= (X'X)^{-1}X'E[ee']X(X'X)^{-1} \\ &= (X'X)^{-1}X'\Phi X(X'X)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

- (b) The GLS estimator is efficient, because the standard assumptions for the error terms are violated and Φ is known. The OLS estimator won't be efficient anymore except for $\sigma_t^2 \equiv \sigma^2 \forall t$.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{GLS} &= (X'\Phi^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\Phi^{-1}y \\ E[y] &= E[X\beta + e] = X\beta + \underbrace{E[e]}_{=0} = X\beta \\ \Rightarrow E[\hat{\beta}_{GLS}] &= E[(X'\Phi^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\Phi^{-1}y] \\ &= (X'\Phi^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\Phi^{-1} \underbrace{E[y]}_{=X\beta} \\ &= \underbrace{(X'\Phi^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\Phi^{-1}X}_{=I_2} \beta \\ &= \beta\end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow The GLS estimator is unbiased.

- (c) An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of θ is called efficient if it is BLUE (or, as here, BUE under the normality assumption). I.e., the estimator is unbiased and $\text{Cov}(\tilde{\theta}) - \text{Cov}(\hat{\theta})$ is non-negative definite for any unbiased estimator $\tilde{\theta}$ of θ .

2. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}b &= (X'X)^{-1}X'y \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.020 & -0.003 \\ -0.003 & 0.023 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 19.690 \\ 46.213 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.020 * 19.690 - 0.003 * 46.213 \\ -0.003 * 19.690 + 0.023 * 46.213 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.255 \\ 1.004 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

- (b)

$$\begin{aligned}s^2 &= \frac{\hat{e}'\hat{e}}{T - K} = \frac{y'y - b'X'y}{T - K} \\ &= \frac{1995 - [0.255 \quad 1.004] \begin{bmatrix} 19.690 \\ 46.213 \end{bmatrix}}{50 - 2} \approx \frac{1943.581}{48} \\ &\approx 40.491\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\text{Cov}}(b) &= s^2(X'X)^{-1} \\ &= 40.491 \begin{bmatrix} 0.020 & -0.003 \\ -0.003 & 0.023 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.810 & -0.121 \\ -0.121 & 0.931 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

(d)

$$t = \frac{b_2}{\hat{\sigma}_{b_2}} = \frac{1.004}{\sqrt{0.931}} \approx 1.041$$

Under H it holds $t \sim t_{T-K}$, so that an α -test rejects H if $|t| > t_{T-K}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. Therefore we would need the 0.975-quantile of the t -distribution with 48 degrees of freedom.

3. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\text{Cov}}(b) &= (X'X)^{-1}X'\hat{\Phi}X(X'X)^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.020 & -0.003 \\ -0.003 & 0.023 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1941.775 & 175.990 \\ 175.990 & 737.029 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.020 & -0.003 \\ -0.003 & 0.023 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.762 & -0.085 \\ -0.085 & 0.383 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$t = \frac{b_2}{\tilde{\sigma}_{b_2}} = \frac{1.004}{\sqrt{0.383}} \approx 1.622$$

When we use the White standard error for b_2 the t-statistic increases, and thus $H : \beta_2 = 0$ is more likely to be rejected.

Problem 2 (23 Points)

Consider the following model with two equations

$$\begin{aligned} y_{t1} &= \beta_1 x_{t1} + e_{t1}, \\ y_{t2} &= \beta_2 x_{t2} + e_{t2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{t1} = 1$ for all $t = 1, \dots, T$ and x_{t2} is non-stochastic. For $T = 50$ observations the following sample moments are given:

$$x_2'x_2 = 100, \quad x_2'y_2 = 50, \quad x_2'y_1 = 60, \quad y_2'y_2 = 90, \quad y_1'y_1 = 500, \quad y_1'y_2 = 40,$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^{50} x_{t2} = 100, \quad \sum_{t=1}^{50} y_{t1} = 150, \quad \sum_{t=1}^{50} y_{t2} = 50.$$

1. **(2 Points)** Compute the OLS estimates of β_1 and β_2 .
2. **(6 Points)** Assume that the error terms have the following structure:

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim (0, \Sigma \otimes I_T) \quad \text{with} \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Estimate Σ using the OLS residuals (\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2) from part 1 and

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ij} = \frac{\hat{e}_i' \hat{e}_j}{T} \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2.$$

3. **(8 Points)** Compute the feasible GLS estimator for β_1 and β_2 under the assumption of part 2:
 - Let $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\sigma}^{11} & \hat{\sigma}^{12} \\ \hat{\sigma}^{21} & \hat{\sigma}^{22} \end{pmatrix}$. Rewrite the formula for $\hat{\beta}_G$ in terms of $\hat{\sigma}^{ij}$ and the sample moments.
 - Calculate $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}$.
 - Give the estimates for β_1 and β_2 .
4. **(2 Points)** What is the motivation behind using the feasible GLS estimator?
5. **(5 Points)** Show that the GLS estimator of $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)'$ is equivalent to the OLS estimator if Σ is a diagonal matrix.

Solution to problem 2 (23 Points)

1.

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{50} y_{t1}}{T} = \frac{150}{50} = 3$$

$$b_2 = (x_2'x_2)^{-1}x_2'y_2 = (100)^{-1}50 = 0.5$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_{11} &= \frac{\hat{e}_1'\hat{e}_1}{T} = \frac{(y_1 - x_1b_1)'(y_1 - x_1b_1)}{T} = \frac{y_1'y_1 - b_1'x_1'y_1}{T} \\ &= \frac{500 - 3 * 150}{50} = 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_{22} &= \frac{\hat{e}_2'\hat{e}_2}{T} = \frac{(y_2 - x_2b_2)'(y_2 - x_2b_2)}{T} = \frac{y_2'y_2 - b_2'x_2'y_2}{T} \\ &= \frac{90 - 0.5 * 50}{50} = 1.3\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_{12} &= \frac{\hat{e}_1'\hat{e}_2}{T} = \frac{(y_1 - x_1b_1)'(y_2 - x_2b_2)}{T} = \frac{y_1'y_2 - y_1'x_2b_2 - b_1'x_1'y_2 + b_1'x_1'x_2b_2}{T} \\ &= \frac{40 - 60 * 0.5 - 3 * 50 + 3 * 100 * 0.5}{50} = \frac{10}{50} = 0.2\end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{21} = \frac{\hat{e}_2'\hat{e}_1}{T} = \frac{\hat{e}_1'\hat{e}_2}{T} = \hat{\sigma}_{12} = 0.2$$

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\sigma}_{11} & \hat{\sigma}_{12} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{21} & \hat{\sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.2 \\ 0.2 & 1.3 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_G &= [X'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes I_T)X]^{-1}X'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes I_T)y \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}' \left(\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\sigma}_{11} & \hat{\sigma}_{12} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{21} & \hat{\sigma}_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \otimes I_T \right) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \\ &\quad \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}' \left[\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\sigma}_{11} & \hat{\sigma}_{12} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{21} & \hat{\sigma}_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \otimes I_T \right] \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left[\begin{bmatrix} x_1' & 0 \\ 0 & x_2' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\sigma}^{11}I_T & \hat{\sigma}^{12}I_T \\ \hat{\sigma}^{21}I_T & \hat{\sigma}^{22}I_T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right]^{-1} \\ &\quad \begin{bmatrix} x_1' & 0 \\ 0 & x_2' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\sigma}^{11}I_T & \hat{\sigma}^{12}I_T \\ \hat{\sigma}^{21}I_T & \hat{\sigma}^{22}I_T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\sigma}^{11}x_1'x_1 & \hat{\sigma}^{12}x_1'x_2 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{21}x_2'x_1 & \hat{\sigma}^{22}x_2'x_2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\sigma}^{11}x_1'y_1 + \hat{\sigma}^{12}x_1'y_2 \\ \hat{\sigma}^{21}x_2'y_1 + \hat{\sigma}^{22}x_2'y_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.2 \\ 0.2 & 1.3 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 * 1.3 - (0.2)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1.3 & -0.2 \\ -0.2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 1.032 & -0.159 \\ -0.159 & 0.794 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{\beta}_G &= \begin{bmatrix} 1.032 * 50 & -0.159 * 100 \\ -0.159 * 100 & 0.794 * 100 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1.032 * 150 - 0.159 * 50 \\ -0.159 * 60 + 0.794 * 50 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\approx \begin{bmatrix} 3.158 \\ 1.012 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

4. GLS is preferable to OLS for general $\text{Cov}(e) = \Phi$. But the GLS estimator depends on Φ . Therefore, in case of an unknown Φ one should replace Φ in the GLS formula by some estimator, which gives the feasible GLS estimator.
5. If Σ is a diagonal matrix, then $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = 0$, i.e.

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_G &= (X'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_T)X)^{-1}X'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_T)y \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} x'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x'_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_{11}}I_T & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sigma_{22}}I_T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x'_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_{11}}I_T & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sigma_{22}}I_T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_{11}}x'_1x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sigma_{22}}x'_2x_2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_{11}}x'_1y_1 \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_{22}}x'_2y_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (\frac{1}{\sigma_{11}}x'_1x_1)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (\frac{1}{\sigma_{22}}x'_2x_2)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_{11}}x'_1y_1 \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_{22}}x'_2y_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11}(x'_1x_1)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sigma_{11}}x'_1y_1 \\ \sigma_{22}(x'_2x_2)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sigma_{22}}x'_2y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (x'_1x_1)^{-1}x'_1y_1 \\ (x'_2x_2)^{-1}x'_2y_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{50} y_{t1}}{T} \\ (x'_2x_2)^{-1}x'_2y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Problem 3 (29 Points)

The following model is given:

$$y = Z\beta + e, \quad e \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_T), \quad (3)$$

where Z is a stochastic ($T \times K$) matrix. Furthermore it holds, for $T \rightarrow \infty$, that $\frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[z_{(t)} z'_{(t)}]}{T} \rightarrow A$, where A is a constant ($K \times K$) matrix.

1. (12 Points) Assume that e and Z are stochastically independent. Show that then $\text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Z'e}{T} = 0$:

- Write $\frac{Z'e}{T}$ as a sum.
- Show that $\mathbb{E}[z_{(t)} e_t] = 0$.
- How does $\text{Cov}[z_{(t)} e_t]$ look like?
- Compute $\text{Cov}[z_{(t)} e_t, z_{(s)} e_s]$, $s \neq t$.
- Show that, for $T \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Z'e}{T}\right] \rightarrow 0$.
- Show that, for $T \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{Cov}\left[\frac{Z'e}{T}\right] \rightarrow 0$.
- Why can you conclude now that $\text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Z'e}{T} = 0$?

2. (15 Points) Consider now the following model:

$$y_t = \beta y_{t-1} + e_t, \quad e_t = v_t + \rho v_{t-1}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T, \quad (4)$$

$$|\beta| < 1, \quad |\rho| < 1, \quad v_t \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2) \text{ i.i.d.}$$

In addition to this, y_{-1} and y_0 are observable. From the assumptions above it follows that for all t , $\mathbb{E}[y_t] = 0$, $\text{Var}[y_t] = \sigma_y^2$ and $\mathbb{E}[v_t y_{t-s}] = 0$ for $s > 0$.

- Write the matrix Z for model (4) in terms of the observations of which it is composed. Write $\frac{Z'e}{T}$ as a sum which depends on the regressor variable y_{t-1} .
- Show that $\mathbb{E}[y_{t-1} e_t] \neq 0$, if $\rho \neq 0$. What do you conclude?
- Show that $\mathbb{E}[y_{t-2} e_t] = 0$ and in general $\mathbb{E}[y_{t-2} y_{t-1}] \neq 0$.
- Give the elements of the instrument matrix X for model (4), if y_{t-2} will be used as an instrument for y_{t-1} . Represent $\frac{X'Z}{T}$ and $\frac{X'e}{T}$ as sums depending on the instrumental variable y_{t-2} .
- How would you proceed to show that $\text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X'e}{T} = 0$ and $\text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X'Z}{T} \neq 0$?

3. (2 Points) What is wrong with the following text? Explain shortly.

The instrumental variable estimator is a special case of the OLS estimator, and it is consistent if the instruments are uncorrelated with the stochastic regressors of the model.

Solution to problem 3 (29 Points)

1. •

$$\frac{Z'e}{T} \text{ with } Z = \begin{bmatrix} z'_{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ z'_{(T)} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow Z'e = (z_{(1)} \dots z_{(T)}) \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_T \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{t=1}^T z_{(t)} e_t$$

$$\frac{Z'e}{T} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T z_{(t)} e_t$$

- Note: $\stackrel{(*)}{=}$ indicates that we use the fact that $z_{(t)}$ and e_s are independent $\forall t \neq s$.

$$\mathbb{E}[z_{(t)} e_t] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathbb{E}[z_{(t)}] \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[e_t]}_{=0} = 0$$

•

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[z_{(t)} e_t] &= \mathbb{E}[(z_{(t)} e_t)(z_{(t)} e_t)'] = \mathbb{E}[e_t^2 z_{(t)} z_{(t)}'] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathbb{E}[e_t^2] \mathbb{E}[z_{(t)} z_{(t)}'] \\ &= \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}[z_{(t)} z_{(t)}'] \end{aligned}$$

•

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[z_{(t)} e_t, z_{(s)} e_s] &= \mathbb{E}[(z_{(t)} e_t)(z_{(s)} e_s)'] = \mathbb{E}[e_t e_s z_{(t)} z_{(s)}'] \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[e_t e_s]}_{=0} \mathbb{E}[z_{(t)} z_{(s)}'] = 0, \text{ for } t \neq s \text{ since } \mathbb{E}[e_t e_s] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

•

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Z'e}{T}\right] = \frac{\sum \mathbb{E}[z_{(t)} e_t]}{T} = 0 \quad \forall T \quad \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Z'e}{T}\right] = 0 \text{ for } T \rightarrow \infty$$

•

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}\left[\frac{Z'e}{T}\right] &= \text{Cov}\left[\frac{\sum z_{(t)} e_t}{T}\right] = \frac{\sum \text{Cov}[z_{(t)} e_t]}{T^2} \quad (\text{Recall: } \text{Cov}[z_{(t)} e_t, z_{(s)} e_s] = 0) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 \sum \mathbb{E}[z_{(t)} z_{(t)}']}{T} \rightarrow 0 \cdot A = 0 \text{ for } T \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

- The above establishes mean square convergence which in turn implies convergence in probability.

2. (a)

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{T-1} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow z_{(t)} = y_{t-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{Z'e}{T} = \frac{[y_0 \dots y_{T-1}] \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_T \end{bmatrix}}{T} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} e_t}{T}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[y_{t-1}e_t] &= \mathbb{E}[y_{t-1}(v_t + \rho v_{t-1})] = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[y_{t-1}v_t]}_{=0} + \rho \mathbb{E}[y_{t-1}v_{t-1}] \\
&= \rho \mathbb{E}[(\beta y_{t-2} + e_{t-1})v_{t-1}] = \rho \beta \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[y_{t-2}v_{t-1}]}_{=0} + \rho \mathbb{E}[e_{t-1}v_{t-1}] \\
&= \rho \mathbb{E}[(v_{t-1} + \rho v_{t-2})v_{t-1}] = \rho \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[v_{t-1}^2]}_{=\sigma_v^2} + \rho^2 \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[v_{t-2}v_{t-1}]}_{=0} \\
&= \rho \sigma_v^2 \neq 0 \text{ if } \rho \neq 0
\end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{Z'e}{T}$ converges in probability, then the probability limit is likely to be different from zero (at least if $\text{Var}(Z'e/T) \rightarrow 0$ for $T \rightarrow \infty$), so that Z is not weakly exogenous.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[y_{t-2}e_t] &= \mathbb{E}[y_{t-2}(v_t + \rho v_{t-1})] = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[y_{t-2}v_t]}_{=0} + \rho \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[y_{t-2}v_{t-1}]}_{=0} = 0 \\
\mathbb{E}[y_{t-2}y_{t-1}] &= \mathbb{E}[y_{t-2}(\beta y_{t-2} + e_{t-1})] = \beta \mathbb{E}[y_{t-2}^2] + \mathbb{E}[y_{t-2}e_{t-1}] \\
&= \beta \sigma_y^2 + \rho \sigma_v^2 \neq 0 \text{ in general}
\end{aligned}$$

(d) Consider the model:

$$y_t = \beta y_{t-2} + e_t \quad t = 1, \dots, T.$$

Thus:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} y_{-1} \\ y_0 \\ \vdots \\ y_{T-2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\frac{X'Z}{T} = \frac{[y_{-1} \ y_0 \ \dots \ y_{T-2}] \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ \vdots \\ y_{T-1} \end{bmatrix}}{T} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-2}y_{t-1}}{T}$$

$$\frac{X'e}{T} = \frac{[y_{-1} \ y_0 \ \dots \ y_{T-2}] \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_T \end{bmatrix}}{T} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-2}e_t}{T}$$

(e) Find an appropriate law of large numbers (for serially correlated random variables) such that its applications to the sequences $y_{t-2}y_{t-1}$ and $y_{t-2}e_t$, respectively, provide $1/T \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-2}y_{t-1} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \beta \sigma_y^2 + \rho \sigma_v^2 \quad (= \mathbb{E}[y_{t-2}y_{t-1}]) \neq 0$ and $1/T \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-2}e_t \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0 \quad (= \mathbb{E}[y_{t-2}e_t])$.

3. LS is special case of the IVE (or the IVE in a particular model can be seen as special case of the LS). For consistency, there is at least some correlation between regressors and instruments necessary.

Problem 4 (26 Points)

The following model is given:

$$y_1 = \gamma_1 y_2 + \beta_{11} x_1 + e_1 \quad (5)$$

$$y_2 = \gamma_2 y_1 + \beta_{22} x_2 + \beta_{32} x_3 + e_2 \quad (6)$$

where y_1, y_2 are endogenous and x_1, x_2, x_3 are exogenous ($T \times 1$) vectors.

1. **(3 Points)** Write the model in the form $Y\Gamma + XB + E = 0$.
2. **(8 Points)** Check the identification of both equations using the order and the rank conditions.
3. **(6 Points)** Assume that an estimation $\hat{\Pi}$ of the reduced form parameters is known. Use the relation $\hat{\Pi}\Gamma_2 = -B_2$ (see the formulary) to estimate the structural parameters $\gamma_2, \beta_{22}, \beta_{32}$.
4. **(7 Points)** Show for equation (6) that $\hat{\delta}_{2(2SLS)} = \hat{\delta}_{2(ILS)}$:
 - Rewrite \hat{Z}_2 in dependence on Y_2 and X_2 .
 - How many rows and columns does $X'Z_2$ have? Hint: Think about the variables, which appear in X, X_2, Y_2 and Z_2 .
 - Assume that $X'Z_2$ has full column rank. Starting with $\hat{\delta}_{2(2SLS)}$ show that $\hat{\delta}_{2(2SLS)} = \hat{\delta}_{2(ILS)}$, i.e. $(\hat{Z}_2'\hat{Z}_2)^{-1}\hat{Z}_2'y_2 = (X'Z_2)^{-1}X'y_2$.
5. **(2 Points)** How does the identifiability of the model change, when you assume for economic reasons that $\beta_{22} = \beta_{32}$?

Solution to problem 4 (26 Points)

1.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -y_1 + \gamma_1 y_2 + \beta_{11} x_1 + e_1 \\ 0 &= \gamma_2 y_1 - y_2 + \beta_{22} x_2 + \beta_{32} x_3 + e_2 \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow

$$0 = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{=Y} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -1 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=\Gamma} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \end{bmatrix}}_{=X} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{22} \\ 0 & \beta_{32} \end{bmatrix}}_{=B} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} e_1 & e_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{=E}$$

2. Let

$$\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma \\ B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_1 & -1 \\ \beta_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{22} \\ 0 & \beta_{32} \end{bmatrix} = [\Delta_1 \Delta_2].$$

Equation (5):

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 \Delta_1 &= 0 \quad \text{with} \quad R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{rank}(R_1) = 2 > M - 1 \\ R_1 \Delta &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta_{22} \\ 0 & \beta_{32} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{rank}(R_1 \Delta) = 1 = M - 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \text{overidentified!} \end{aligned}$$

Equation (6):

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 \Delta_2 &= 0 \quad \text{with} \quad R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{rank}(R_2) = 1 = M - 1 \\ R_2 \Delta &= \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{rank}(R_2 \Delta) = 1 = M - 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \text{exactly identified!} \end{aligned}$$

3.

$$\hat{\Gamma} \Pi_2 = -B_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\pi}_{11} & \hat{\pi}_{12} \\ \hat{\pi}_{21} & \hat{\pi}_{22} \\ \hat{\pi}_{31} & \hat{\pi}_{32} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \hat{\beta}_{22} \\ \hat{\beta}_{32} \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\pi}_{11} \hat{\gamma}_2 - \hat{\pi}_{12} \\ \hat{\pi}_{21} \hat{\gamma}_2 - \hat{\pi}_{22} \\ \hat{\pi}_{31} \hat{\gamma}_2 - \hat{\pi}_{32} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\hat{\beta}_{22} \\ -\hat{\beta}_{32} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}_2 &= \frac{\hat{\pi}_{12}}{\hat{\pi}_{11}} & \frac{\hat{\pi}_{12}}{\hat{\pi}_{11}} &= \hat{\gamma}_2 \\ \Leftrightarrow \hat{\pi}_{21} \hat{\gamma}_2 &= -\hat{\beta}_{22} + \hat{\pi}_{22} & \Leftrightarrow -\hat{\pi}_{21} \frac{\hat{\pi}_{12}}{\hat{\pi}_{11}} + \hat{\pi}_{22} &= \hat{\beta}_{22} \\ -\hat{\pi}_{31} \hat{\gamma}_2 + \hat{\pi}_{32} &= \hat{\beta}_{32} & \hat{\pi}_{32} - \hat{\pi}_{31} \frac{\hat{\pi}_{12}}{\hat{\pi}_{11}} &= \hat{\beta}_{32} \end{aligned}$$

4. •

$$\hat{Z}_2 = [\hat{Y}_2 \quad X_2] = [X(X'X)^{-1}X'Y_2 \quad X(X'X)^{-1}X'X_2] = X(X'X)^{-1}X' \underbrace{[Y_2 \quad X_2]}_{=Z_2}$$

Remark: $X(X'X)^{-1}X'$ is a projection matrix and $X(X'X)^{-1}X'X_2 = X_2$.

•

$$\begin{aligned} X'Z_2 &\text{ is a } (3 \times 3)\text{-matrix,} & Y_2 &= [y_1], \\ X &= [x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3], & X_2 &= [x_2 \ x_3], \\ Z_2 &= [Y_2 \ X_2] = [y_1 \ x_2 \ x_3] \end{aligned}$$

•

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\delta}_{2(2SLS)} &= (\hat{Z}_2' \hat{Z}_2)^{-1} \hat{Z}_2' y \\ &= [Z_2' X (X' X)^{-1} \underbrace{X' X (X' X)^{-1} X' Z_2}_{=I_3}]^{-1} Z_2' X (X' X)^{-1} X' y_2 \\ &= [X' Z_2]^{-1} [(X' X)^{-1}]^{-1} [Z_2' X]^{-1} [Z_2' X] [X' X]^{-1} X' y_2 \\ &= [X' Z_2]^{-1} X' y_2 = \hat{\delta}_{2(OLS)} \end{aligned}$$

Remark: As $X'Z_2$ is a (3×3) -matrix with $\text{rank}(X'Z_2) = 3$, the inverse $[X'Z_2]^{-1}$ exists.

5.

$$\beta_{22} = \beta_{32} \Rightarrow \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_1 & -1 \\ \beta_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{22} \\ 0 & \beta_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

No changes to equation (5).

And equation (6):

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 \Delta_2 &= 0 \quad \text{with} \quad R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rank}(R_2) = 2 \\ \text{rank}(R_2 \Delta) &= \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \text{Now overidentified!} \end{aligned}$$

Problem 5 (10 Points) Multiple Choice

For the following statements indicate whether they are True (T) or False (F). For each true answer you will get 2 points, for each false answer you will lose 1 point and for unanswered questions you will get 0 points. For the whole problem you will not get less than zero points.

Statements

T	F	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. In a linear regression model the sum of the OLS residuals ($\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{e}_t$) is always zero.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. The OLS residuals \hat{e}_t are uncorrelated, if this is the case for the errors e_t .
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. A break in the intercept of a linear regression model can be modelled by an appropriately defined dummy variable.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. If the regressor matrix X in the linear model $y = X\beta + e$ is not a full rank, then an unbiased estimator for β does not exist.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5. The Gauss-Newton method for estimating the parameters in a nonlinear regression model is based on a second order Taylor series approximation of the least squares criterion.